

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,035	06/20/2003		Werner Kleine	DT-6528	6367
30377	7590	10/20/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVID TO		•		GATES, ERIC ANDREW	
ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB 666 THIRD AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YOR			3722	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurs	10/600,035	KLEINE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eric A. Gates	3722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2003</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/7/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the chuck of claim 17 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate

Art Unit: 3722

changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In the last line of the claim, the reference character for "thickness" should be changed to "D". Appropriate correction is required. It should also be noted that references numbers and letters in parentheses in the claims do not hold patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 2-3, 5-6, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3722

a. Claim 2 recites the limitation "substantially same cross-sectional surface" in line 3. This limitation is unclear. For purposes of examination, it has been read as "substantially same cross-sectional surface area".

- b. Claim 3 recites the limitations "one of spherical and cylindrical axial stop surface" and "having, respectively, a shape of one of sphere and roll". This limitation is unclear. For purposes of examination, these have been read as "one of a spherical and cylindrical axial stop surface" and "having, respectively, one of a spherical and cylindrical shape."
- c. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the at least one groove" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- d. Claim 5 recites the limitation "smooth surface sections which are one of smoothly pass into each other and form a sharp edge". This limitation is unclear. For purposes of examination, it has been read as "smooth surface sections exhibiting one of a smooth transition and a sharp edge transition".
- e. Claim 6 recites the limitation "functional surface-forming surface sections" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- f. Claim 12 recites the limitations "about 1.2-1.4 of the guide dimension" and "about .6-.8 of the guide dimension." These limitations render the claim indefinite because the actual limitation of the claim cannot be determined.
- g. Claim 13 recites the limitation "arranged with respect to each other one of parallel, crosswise, and at an acute angle". This limitation is unclear.

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kleine (EP 0 304 002 A1).
- 9. Regarding claim 1, Kleine discloses a shank for a rotary and/or percussive tool, comprising at least two, axially spaced, guide regions 11; at least one radially projecting entrain strip 16; and at least one locking grove 18 arranged between the at least two guide regions 11 and axially closed at opposite end thereof for receiving at least one radially displaceable and axially displaceable, within predetermined limits, locking member 49 of chuck, wherein at least one of the at least two guide regions 11 has a guide dimension (not labeled, see Fig 1), and an axial region (not labeled, see Fig 1) of the locking groove 18 has a cross-sectional width (not labeled, see Fig 2) that includes a radial extent of the entrain strip 16, and a thickness (not labeled, see Fig 2) measure in a direction transverse to the width measurement direction, and wherein the guide dimension is greater than the thickness but smaller than the width.
- 10. Regarding claim 2, Kleine discloses the axial region of the locking groove 18 and at least one of the guide regions 11 have a substantially same cross-sectional surface within a tolerance range of +/- 10%, based on calculations taken from measurements of Figures 1 and 2.

Art Unit: 3722

11. Regarding claim 3, Kleine discloses that at least one of the opposite ends of the at least one locking groove 18 has one of a spherical and cylindrical axial stop surface 21 engageable by the locking member 49 having, respectively, one of a spherical and cylindrical shape.

- 12. Regarding claim 4, Kleine discloses a maximum aperture angle (not labeled, see Figure 2) of a bottom surface of the locking groove 18, which is defined by a cross-section of the axial region of the locking groove, amounts to at least 120 degrees, based on measurements of Figure 2.
- 13. Regarding claim 5, Kleine discloses a second, radially projecting, entrain strip 16 located diametrically opposite the at least one entrain strip, wherein a bottom surface of the at least one locking groove 18, which is located between the at least one and second entrain strips 16, forms a first functional surface (not labeled, see Figure 2) formed of smooth surface sections exhibiting one of a smooth transition and a sharp edge transition, with a transition region being curved in a direction to a tool axis.
- 14. Regarding claim 6, Kleine discloses that the functional surfaces have surface sections that are even.
- 15. Regarding claim 7, Kleine discloses a second locking groove 18 arranged diametrically opposite the at least one locking groove 18, with a bottom surface of the second groove, which is located between the at least one and second entrain strips, forming a second functional surface (not labeled, see Figure 2) located opposite the first functional surface.

Art Unit: 3722

16. Regarding claim 8, Kleine discloses the at least one guide region 11 has a cylindrical outer surface.

- 17. Regarding claim 9, Kleine discloses a second entrain strip 16, which is arranged diametrically opposite the at least one entrain strip 16, is provided in the axial region of the at least one locking groove 18.
- 18. Regarding claim 10, Kleine discloses a second locking groove 18 arranged diametrically opposite the at least one locking groove 18 and having a same shape.
- 19. Regarding claim 11, Kleine discloses a second entrain strip 16 arranged diametrically opposite the at least one entrain strip 16 in the axial region of the locking grooves 18.
- 20. Regarding claim 12, Kleine discloses that at the guide dimension of the guide region 11, the width is equal to about 1.2-1.4 of the guide dimension, and the thickness is equal to about 0.6-0.8 of the guide dimension, based on calculations taken from measurements of Figures 1 and 2.
- 21. Regarding claim 13, Kleine discloses further axial regions 12 axially spaced from each other and arranged with respect to each other one of parallel, crosswise, and at an acute angle (see Figure 2).
- 22. Regarding claim 14, Kleine discloses a third guide region 11 arranged between the axial regions.
- 23. Regarding claim 15, Kleine discloses a further, segment-shaped guide region (not labeled, see Figure 2) provided between the edge of the locking groove 18 and the peak of the entrain strip 16.

Art Unit: 3722

24. Regarding claim 16, Kleine discloses the invention according to the claim, as described above.

25. Regarding claim 17, Kleine discloses a chuck 40 for a tool according to the claim, as described above.

Conclusion

26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Moser, Fehrle, Van Kijk, Rumpp, Gotzfried, Schroth, Reimann, Holzapfel, Bauman, and Peetz disclose tool shanks with features relating to the invention above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric A. Gates whose telephone number is 571-272-5498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:45-5:15 & alt Fridays 7:45-4:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric A. Gates
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3722

EAG

6 October 2005

BOYER D. ASHLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER